

$A \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, I set of variable symbols.
↑ universal domain.

← type means complete type.

Given $p \subseteq \Delta$, we say p is an I -type over A

if $\exists f: I \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ $p = \{ \varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{a}) : \varphi \in \Delta, \bar{x} \in I^m, \bar{a} \in A^n, \mathcal{U} \models \varphi(f(x), \bar{a}) \}$.

We say $p = tp(f(x): x \in I/A)$.

$S_I(A) = \{ I\text{-types over } A \}$.

$|I| = |J| \Rightarrow S_I(A) \cong S_J(A)$

let $I_0 \subseteq I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$ & $I = \bigcup_{n < \omega} I_n$

$p_0 \subseteq p_1 \subseteq p_2 \subseteq \dots$ & $p_\omega = \bigcup_{n < \omega} p_n$

suppose $p_n \in S_{I_n}(A)$. Then $p_\omega \in S_I(A)$.

Proof Suppose p_ω not realised. Then $\exists \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k \in p_\omega$ st.

$\{ \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k \}$ not realised. But $\exists n < \omega$ $\{ \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k \} \in p_n$.

Suppose $(b_i: i \in I)$ realises p_ω .

Suppose $\mathcal{U} \models \varphi(b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_k}, a_1, \dots, a_m)$ where $i_1, \dots, i_k \in I$.

$\exists n < \omega$ $i_1, \dots, i_k \in I_n$ $\therefore \varphi(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}, a_1, \dots, a_m) \in p_n$.

So p_ω a complete type □

Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in \text{ORD}$ & we have $b_i \in U^\beta \forall i < \alpha$.

We say $(b_i : i < \alpha)$ is A -indiscernible if

$$\forall i_0 < \dots < i_{n-1} < \alpha \quad \forall j_0 < \dots < j_{n-1} < \alpha$$

$$\text{we have } \text{tp}(b_{i_0}, \dots, b_{i_{n-1}} / A) = \text{tp}(b_{j_0}, \dots, b_{j_{n-1}} / A)$$

Set Theory $\mathcal{I}_0 = \mathcal{N}_0$, $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1} = 2^{\mathcal{I}_\alpha}$, $\mathcal{I}_\lambda = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} \mathcal{I}_\alpha$

more generally, $\mathcal{I}_0(K) = \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(K) = 2^{\mathcal{I}_\alpha(K)}$, $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(K) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} \mathcal{I}_\alpha(K)$

$$\text{cf } \lambda = \min \{ \alpha : \exists f \in {}^\alpha \lambda \text{ st. sup ran } f = \lambda \}$$

(limit ordinal)

one property: $\text{cf } \kappa^+ = \kappa^+$

another:

$$\text{cf } \mathcal{I}_\lambda(K) = \text{cf } \lambda$$

We will use today $\text{cf } \mathcal{I}_{\kappa^+} = \text{cf } \kappa^+ = \kappa^+$

More Notation: $[A]^\kappa = \{ B \subseteq A : |B| = \kappa \}$

Given $n < \omega$ & cardinals λ, μ, κ .

$$\left[\kappa \rightarrow (\lambda)_{\mu}^n \right] \text{ means for all } f: [K]^n \rightarrow \mu \exists A_f \in [K]^\kappa$$

such that f is constant on $[A_f]^n$.

(Ramsey's Thm: $\omega \rightarrow (\omega)_\kappa^n \forall n, \kappa < \omega$)

Erdős-Rado Thm: $\mathcal{I}_n(\kappa)^+ \rightarrow (\kappa^+)_\kappa^{n+1}$ □

Lemma : Let $A \in \mathcal{U}$, $\lambda \geq |S_\kappa(A)|$.

Set $\mu = \aleph_{\lambda^+}$. For each sequence $(a_i : i < \mu)$ of κ -tuples in \mathcal{U} $\exists (b_i : i < \omega)$ in \mathcal{U}^κ such that

$(b_i : i < \omega)$ is A -indiscernible & $\forall n < \omega \exists i_0, \dots, i_{n-1} < \mu$ st. $tp(a_{i_0}, \dots, a_{i_{n-1}} / A) = tp(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} / A)$.

Proof Given $n < \omega$, let x_n be a κ -tuple of variable symbols.

Let $J_n := \bigcup_{i < n} x_i$ and $J := \bigcup_{n < \omega} x_n$.

Suppose $\forall n < \omega \exists p_n \in S_{J_n}(A)$ st. \forall cardinals $\eta < \mu$,

we have : (P_n) $p_n \supseteq p_m(x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_{m-1}}) \forall i_0 < \dots < i_{m-1} < n$.
a property name substitute variables $x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_{m-1}}$ with $x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_{m-1}}$.

(Q_n, η) $\exists I \in [J_n]^\eta \forall i_0 < \dots < i_{m-1} < \mu$ if $\{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}\} \in I$
another property then $(a_{i_0}, \dots, a_{i_{m-1}})$ realises p_n .

By (P_n) , $p_n \supseteq p_m(x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_{m-1}}) = p_m$, hence

$p_0 \subseteq p_1 \subseteq p_2 \subseteq \dots \therefore p_\omega := \bigcup_{n < \omega} p_n \in S_J(A)$.

Moreover, we have (P_n) for all $n < \omega$,

so if $(b_i : i < \omega)$ realises p_ω , then $(b_i : i < \omega)$ is A -indiscernible: any $i_0 < \dots < i_{m-1} < n$ satisfies (P_n) for p_n , hence $(b_{i_0}, \dots, b_{i_{m-1}})$ realises p_m .

And by $(Q_{n,n})$ for all $n < \omega$,

$$\exists i_0 < \dots < i_{n-1} < \mu \quad \text{tp}(b_{i_0}, \dots, b_{i_{n-1}} / A) = p_n = \text{tp}(a_{i_0}, \dots, a_{i_{n-1}} / A).$$

Now ^{prove proposition} ~~find~~ ~~parts~~ by induction.

$n=0$ is vacuous. Assume p_n satisfies (P_n) & $(Q_{n,n})$

Let $S = \{q \in S_{J_{n+1}}(A) : q \text{ satisfies } (P_{n+1})\}$

If $q \in S$ and q satisfies $(Q_{n+1}, \eta) \forall \eta < \mu$ then we're done.

Suppose $\forall q \in S \exists \eta_q < \mu$ such that q fails (Q_{n+1}, η_q) .

Choose such η_q .

Let $\eta := \max(\lambda, \sup \{\eta_q : q \in S\})$ where $\sup \emptyset = 0$.

Then $\text{cf } \mu = \text{cf } I_{\lambda^+} = \lambda^+ > \lambda \geq |S_{\kappa}(A)| = |S_{J_{n+1}}(A)| \geq |S|$.

$\therefore \sup \{\eta_q : q \in S\} < \mu$.

Also $\lambda < I_{\lambda^+} = \mu \therefore \eta < \mu$.

$\forall q \in S \eta_q \leq \eta \therefore \forall q \in S$ fails (Q_{n+1}, η) .

λ^+ is a limit ordinal, hence $\exists \theta < \lambda^+ \eta < I_\theta$.

$\nu = I_{\theta+n+1} \therefore \nu < \mu$.

By inductive hypothesis, $\exists I \in [I_\mu]^\nu \forall i_0 < \dots < i_{n-1} < \mu$ if

$\exists i_0, \dots, i_{n-1} \in I \quad (a_{i_0}, \dots, a_{i_{n-1}})$ realises p_n .

By Erdős - Rado, $\mathcal{I}_n(\eta)^+ \rightarrow (\eta^+)_\eta^{n+1}$

Now $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{I}_{n+1}(\mathcal{I}_\emptyset) \geq \mathcal{I}_{n+1}(\eta) \geq \mathcal{I}_n(\eta)^+$.

\therefore we have $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow (\eta^+)_\eta^{n+1}$

Let $f: [\mathcal{I}]^{n+1} \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{I}_{n+1}}(A)$ be defined by

$$f(\{i_0, \dots, i_n\}) := \text{tp}(a_{i_0}, \dots, a_{i_n} / A) \quad \text{where } i_0 < \dots < i_n.$$

$$\exists I' \in [\mathcal{I}]^{\aleph^+} \text{ st. } f \text{ is constant on } I'.$$

Reminder: $|S_{\mathcal{I}_{n+1}}(A)| \leq \lambda \leq \eta$

Choose $j_0, \dots, j_n \in I'$ st. $j_0 < \dots < j_n$.

Set $q = \text{tp}(j_0, \dots, j_n / A) \in S_{\mathcal{I}_{n+1}}(A)$.

Then I' witnesses that q satisfies (Q_{n+1}, η^+) .

Also, $\{j_0, \dots, j_n\} \in I'$, hence $\forall i_0 < \dots < i_{n-1} < n+1$ we have $(a_{j_{i_0}}, \dots, a_{j_{i_{n-1}}})$ realizes p_n .

On the other hand $\forall m < n \forall i_0 < \dots < i_{m-1} < n$, then we have $(a_{j_{i_0}}, \dots, a_{j_{i_{m-1}}})$ realizes p_m (by (P_n)).

$\therefore q$ satisfies (P_{n+1}) . $\therefore q \in S$.

$\therefore q$ fails (Q_{n+1}, η_q) But $\eta_q < \eta^+ \times$.

Convention: All indiscernible sequences are infinite.

Definition: We say that two A -indiscernible sequences

$(a_i : i < \alpha)$, $(b_j : j < \beta)$ are similar (over A)

if $\forall n < \omega$, $tp(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) = tp(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1})$

$(\Leftrightarrow tp(a_{<\omega} / A) = tp(b_{<\omega} / A) \Leftrightarrow \forall i_0 < \dots < i_{n-1} < \alpha,$
 $\{a_{i_0}, \dots, a_{i_{n-1}}\}_{i < \omega} \quad tp(a_{i_0}, \dots, a_{i_{n-1}} / A) = tp(b_{j_0}, \dots, b_{j_{n-1}} / A)$)

(So the only difference btwn the two is the length.)

Easy Fact: Assume $(a_i : i < \omega)$ is A -indiscernible.

Then $\forall \lambda \geq \omega$ there is an A -indiscernible sequence $(b_i : i < \lambda)$ similar to (a_i) ,

moreover, ~~more~~ $tp((b_i : i < \lambda) / A)$ is uniquely determined by $tp((a_i) / A)$ and λ

Proof Define $\forall n$: $p_n = tp(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} / A)$.

$q(\lambda < \lambda) = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \bigcup_{i_0, \dots, i_{n-1} < \lambda} p_n(x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_{n-1}})$

Then q is consistent by compactness.

$[p_n(x_{i_0}, \dots, \hat{x}_{i_j}, \dots, x_{i_n}) \subseteq p_{n+1}(x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_n})]$

and is a complete type. (use fact we have directed set of indices).

clearly any realisation of q will do & only if q \square

Corollary Let $A \subseteq B$. $(a_i : i < \omega)$ an A -indiscernible sequence.

Then there exists a B -indiscernible sequence $(b_i : i < \omega)$ which is similar to $(a_i) / A$.

Proof Extension/extraction technique

First, by the ~~Fact~~ Fact, there is a similar sequence ~~$(b_i : i < \omega)$~~

So choose μ big enough for the "Erdős-Rado Lemma!" $(b_i : i < \mu) \forall \mu.$

Then there is a sequence $(c_i : i < \omega)$ B -indiscernible st.

$\forall n \exists i_0 < \dots < i_{n-1} < \mu$ st. $tp(c_{i_0}, \dots, c_{i_{n-1}} / B) = tp(b_{i_0}, \dots, b_{i_{n-1}} / B)$

Since $B \supseteq A$, $tp(c_{i_0}, \dots, c_{i_{n-1}} / A) = tp(b_{i_0}, \dots, b_{i_{n-1}} / A)$.

$= tp(a_{i_0}, \dots, a_{i_{n-1}} / A)$. \square