

SYMPLECTIC GEOMETRY, LECTURE 8

Prof. Denis Auroux

1. ALMOST-COMPLEX STRUCTURES

Recall *compatible triples* (ω, g, J) , wherein two of the three determine the third ($g(u, v) = \omega(u, Jv), \omega(u, v) = g(Ju, v), J(u) = \tilde{g}^{-1}(\tilde{\omega}(u))$ where $\tilde{g}, \tilde{\omega}$ are the induced isomorphisms $TM \rightarrow T^*M$).

Proposition 1. *For (M, ω) a symplectic manifold with Riemannian metric g , \exists a canonical almost complex structure J compatible with ω .*

Idea. Do polar decomposition on every tangent space. □

Corollary 1. *Any symplectic manifold has compatible almost-complex structures, and the space of such structures is path connected.*

Proof. For the first part, using a partition of unity gives a Riemannian metric, so the rest follows from the proposition. For the second part, given J_0, J_1 , let $g_i = \omega(\cdot, J_i \cdot)$ for $i = 0, 1$ and set $g_t = (1-t)g_0 + tg_1$. Each of these (for $t \in [0, 1]$) is a metric, and gives an ω -compatible \tilde{J}_t by polar decomposition, with $\tilde{J}_0 = J_0$ and $\tilde{J}_1 = J_1$. □

The mechanism of the proof also gives

Proposition 2. *The set $\mathcal{J}(T_x M, \omega_x)$ of ω_x -compatible complex structures on $T_x M$ is contractible, i.e. $\exists h_t : \mathcal{J}(T_x M, \omega_x) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(T_x M, \omega_x)$ for $t \in [0, 1], h_0 = \text{id}, h_1 = \mathcal{J} \rightarrow J_0, h_t(J_0) = J_0 \forall t$.*

Corollary 2. *The space of compatible almost-complex structures on (M, ω) is contractible. It is the space of sections of a bundle whose fibers are contractible by the previous proposition.*

More generally, let $E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle.

Definition 1. *A metric on E is a family of positive-definite scalar products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x : E_x \times E_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. E is symplectic (resp. complex) if there is a family of nondegenerate skew-symmetric forms $\omega_x : E_x \times E_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (resp. complex structures $J_x : E_x \rightarrow E_x, J_x^2 = -1$).*

Then metrics always exist, and every symplectic vector bundle is a complex vector bundle and vice versa.

Proposition 3. *For (M, J) an almost-complex manifold, ω_0, ω_1 two symplectic forms compatible with J , $\omega_t = (1-t)\omega_0 + t\omega_1$ is symplectic and J -compatible $\forall t \in [0, 1]$ (i.e. the space of J -compatible ω is convex).*

Note that

- The space of such ω might be empty, as there are almost complex manifolds (like S^6) which have no symplectic structures.
- Not every manifold has an almost-complex structure (e.g. S^4 , by the Ehresman-Hopf theorem).

Problem. \exists an almost-complex structure $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ a nondegenerate 2-form.

- The proposition works if we put *tame* instead of compatible, i.e. require $\omega(u, Ju) > 0 \forall u \neq 0$ but not symmetry.

Proof. ω_t is closed and $\omega_t(u, Ju) = (1-t)\omega_0(u, Ju) + t\omega_1(u, Ju) > 0 \forall u \neq 0$, so ω_t is nondegenerate and thus symplectic. Moreover, $g_t(u, v) = \omega_t(u, Jv) = (1-t)g_0(u, v) + tg_1(u, v)$ is a metric. □

Definition 2. *$X \subset (M, J)$ is an almost-complex submanifold if $J(TX) = TX$, i.e. $\forall x \in X, v \in T_x X, Jv \in T_x X$.*

Proposition 4. *If X is an almost-complex submanifold in compatible (M, ω, J) , then X is symplectic (i.e. $\omega|_X$ is nondegenerate).*

Proof. $\forall u \in T_x X, u \neq 0, Ju \in T_x X$ and $\omega(u, Ju) > 0$, so $\forall u \in T_x X \setminus \{0\}, \omega(u, \cdot)|_{T_x X} \in T_x^* X$ is nonzero, giving us an isomorphism $T_x X \rightarrow T_x^* X$ as desired. \square

Let $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \Omega_0, J_0, g_0)$ be the standard symplectic structure, complex structure, and metric on \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

- $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ is the group of linear symplectomorphisms of $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \Omega_0)$, i.e. $\{A \in \text{GL}(2n, \mathbb{R}) | \Omega_0(Au, Av) = \Omega_0(u, v) \forall u, v\}$.
- $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the group of \mathbb{C} -linear automorphisms of (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, J_0) , i.e. $\{A | AJ_0 = J_0 A\}$.
- $O(2n)$ is the group of isometries of (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, g_0) , i.e. $\{A | A^t A = 1\}$.
- $U(n) = \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \cap O(2n)$.

Proposition 5. $\text{Sp}(2n) \cap O(2n) = \text{Sp}(2n) \cap \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) = O(2n) \cap \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) = U(n)$.

Proof. The intersection of any two of these sets is the set of automorphisms preserving two of the three in a compatible triple, and thus must preserve all of them. \square

- For (V, Ω, J) a symplectic vector space with compatible almost-complex structure, \exists an isomorphism $(V, \Omega, J) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \Omega_0, J_0)$.
- The space $\Omega(V)$ of all symplectic structures on V is $\cong \text{GL}(V)/\text{Sp}(V, \Omega_0) \cong \text{GL}(2n, \mathbb{R})/\text{Sp}(2n)$, as $\text{GL}(V)$ acts transitively on $\Omega(V)$ by $\phi \mapsto \phi^* \Omega_0$ with stabilizer $\text{Sp}(V, \Omega)$.
- The space $\mathcal{J}(V)$ of almost-complex structures on V is $\cong \text{GL}(V)/\text{GL}(V, J) \cong \text{GL}(2n, \mathbb{R})/\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$.
- The space $\mathcal{J}(V, \Omega)$ of Ω -compatible J 's on V is $\cong \text{Sp}(V, \Omega)/\text{Sp}(V, \Omega) \cap \text{GL}(V, J) \cong \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})/U(n)$.
- The contractibility of $\mathcal{J}(V, \Omega)$ is now the fact that $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ retracts onto its subgroup $U(n)$.

2. VECTOR BUNDLES AND CONNECTIONS

For $E \rightarrow M$ a real or complex vector bundle, we have an exact sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow E_x \rightarrow T_p E \xrightarrow{d\pi} T_x M \rightarrow 0$$

for each $p \in E, x = \pi(p)$. Here, $E_x \subset T_p E$ gives the set of vertical directions: we would like a splitting $T_p E = E_x \oplus (T_p E)^{\text{horiz}}$, i.e. a way to transport from one fiber to another. The data required to do this is a connection.

Definition 3. A connection ∇ on E is an \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $C^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, T^*M \otimes E) = \Omega^1(M, E)$ s.t. $\nabla(f\sigma) = df \cdot \sigma + f\nabla\sigma$. For $v \in T_x M$, we let ∇_v denote the mapping $\sigma \mapsto \nabla\sigma(v)$.

Choose a local trivialization of E , i.e. a frame of sections e_i s.t. \mathbb{R}^r (or \mathbb{C}^r) $\times U \cong E|_U, (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) \mapsto \sum \xi_i e_i$. Then $\nabla\sigma = \nabla(\sum \xi_i e_i) = \sum (d\xi_i) e_i + \xi_i \nabla e_i$, i.e. locally $\nabla = d + A$, where $A = (a_{ij}) \in \Omega^1(M, \text{End}(E))$ is a matrix-valued 1-form (the *connection 1-form*) with a_{ij} the component of ∇e_j along e_i . Globally, given $\nabla, \nabla', \nabla(fs) - \nabla'(fs) = f(\nabla s - \nabla' s)$, so $\nabla - \nabla'$ is $C^\infty(M, E)$ -linear and the space of connections is an affine space modeled on $\Omega^1(M, \text{End}(E))$.

2.1. Horizontal Distribution. Let $\sigma : M \rightarrow E$ be a section, $d_x\sigma : T_x M \rightarrow T_{\sigma(x)} E$ the induced map. Then $\nabla\sigma(x) \in T_x^* M \otimes E_x$ depends only on $d\sigma(x)$. Thus, we can also think of ∇ as a projection $\pi^\nabla : T_{\sigma(x)} E \rightarrow E_x$, with $\nabla_v\sigma = \pi^\nabla(d\sigma(v))$. Then $\mathcal{H}^\nabla = \text{Ker } \pi^\nabla$ is the horizontal subspace at $p(x)$.

Definition 4. For $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ a Euclidean or Hermitian metric on E , ∇ is compatible with the metric if $d\langle \sigma, \sigma' \rangle = \langle \nabla\sigma, \sigma' \rangle + \langle \sigma, \nabla\sigma' \rangle$.

As above, locally one can find an orthonormal frame of sections $(e_i), \langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$. Writing $\nabla = d + A$ in this trivialization, the compatibility becomes

$$(2) \quad \langle \nabla\xi, \eta \rangle + \langle \xi, \nabla\eta \rangle = \langle d\xi, \eta \rangle + \langle A\xi, \eta \rangle + \langle \xi, d\eta \rangle + \langle \xi, A\eta \rangle$$

Since $d\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \langle d\xi, \eta \rangle + \langle \xi, d\eta \rangle$, this means that the connection 1-form A must be skew-symmetric (or anti-Hermitian).

Also note that ∇ on E induces a ∇^* on E^* by $d(\phi(\sigma)) = \langle \nabla^*\phi, \sigma \rangle + \langle \phi, \nabla\sigma \rangle$, and similarly for $E \otimes F$, etc.