

Lecture 7

Congruences mod Primes, Order, Primitive Roots

Continuation of Proof of Hensel's Lemma. By lemma,

$$f(a + tp^j) \equiv f(a) + tp^j f'(a) \pmod{p^{j+1}}$$

Now we want to have the right hand side $\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{j+1}}$.

$$f(a) + tp^j f'(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{j+1}} \leftrightarrow tf'(a) + \frac{f(a)}{p^j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

this has a unique solution

$$t \equiv - \left(\frac{f(a)}{p^j} \frac{1}{f'(a)} \right) \pmod{p}$$

■

Direct formula - start with solution a of $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and we want a solution $\pmod{p^*}$. Set $a_1 = a$.

$$a_{j+1} = a_j - f(a_j) \overline{f'(a)} \pmod{p^{j+1}}$$

where $\overline{f'(a)}$ is an integer chosen once at the beginning of the algorithm, which only matters \pmod{p} . It's chosen such that $\overline{f'(a)} f'(a) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Then $f(a_j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^j}$ for $j \geq 1$ as long as $f'(a) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Eg. Solve the congruence $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{125}$. ($f(x) = x^2 + 1$, $f'(x) = 2x$). $\pmod{5}$: $2^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{5}$, so set $a = 2$. $f'(a) \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, so can choose $\overline{f'(a)} = -1$.

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 2 \pmod{5} \\ a_2 &= a_1 - f(a_1) \overline{f'(a)} \pmod{25} \\ &= 2 - (5)(-1) \pmod{25} \\ &= 7 \pmod{25} \\ a_3 &= a_2 - f(a_2) \overline{f'(a)} \pmod{125} \\ &= 7 - (50)(-1) \pmod{125} \\ &= 57 \pmod{125} \end{aligned}$$

Congruences to prime modulus: Assume that all the coefficients of $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} \dots + a_0$ are reduced \pmod{p} and also that $a_n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. By dividing out by a_n , can assume that $f(x)$ is monic (ie., highest coefficient is 1). We can also assume degree n of f is less than p . If not, can divide f by $x^p - x$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= g(x)(x^p - x) + r(x) \text{ with } \deg(r(x)) < p \\ f(a) &= g(a)(a^p - a) + r(a) \equiv r(a) \pmod{p} \text{ by Fermat} \end{aligned}$$

so roots of $f(x) \pmod{p}$ are the same as the roots of $r(x) \pmod{p}$.

Theorem 28. A congruence $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod p$ of degree n has at most n solutions.

Proof. (imitates proof that polynomial of degree n has at most n complex roots)

Induction on n : congruences of degree 0 and 1 have 0 and 1 solutions, trivially. Assume that it holds for degrees $< n$ ($n \geq 2$)

If it has no roots, then we're done. Otherwise, suppose it does have a root α . Dividing $f(x)$ by $x - \alpha$, we get $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and a constant r such that $f(x) = g(x)(x - \alpha) + r$. Now if we plug in α we get $f(\alpha) = (\alpha - \alpha)g(\alpha) + r = r$, which means that $f(\alpha) = r$ and $f(x) = (x - \alpha)g(x) + f(\alpha)$.

We know that $f(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod p$. If β is any other root of $f(x)$ then we plug β into the equation to get $f(\beta) = (\beta - \alpha)g(\beta) + f(\alpha)$. Mod p , $f(\beta) \equiv (\beta - \alpha)g(\beta) \pmod p$, so $0 \equiv (\beta - \alpha)g(\beta)$. We also assume that $\beta \neq \alpha$, so $g(\beta) \equiv 0 \pmod p$.

So β is a root of $g(x)$ as a solution of $g(x) \equiv 0 \pmod p$. We know that $g(x)$ has degree $n - 1$, so by induction hypothesis $g(x) \equiv 0 \pmod p$ has at most $n - 1$ solutions, which by including α gives $f(x)$ at most n solutions. ■

Corollary 29. If $a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 \equiv 0 \pmod p$ has more than n solutions, then all $a_i \equiv 0 \pmod p$.

Theorem 30. Let $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$. Then $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod p$ has exactly n distinct solutions if and only if $f(x)$ divides $x^p - x \pmod p$. I.e., there exists $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $f(x)g(x) = x^p - x \pmod p$ as polynomials (all coefficients mod p)

Proof. Suppose $f(x)$ has n solutions. Then $n \leq p$ because only p possible roots mod p (i.e., $\deg(f) \leq \deg(x^p - x)$). Divide $x^p - x$ by $f(x)$ to get

$$x^p - x = f(x)g(x) + r(x), \quad \deg(r) < \deg(f) = n$$

Now note, if α is a root of $f(x) \pmod p$ then plug in to get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^p - \alpha &= f(\alpha)g(\alpha) + r(\alpha) \\ &\equiv 0g(\alpha) + r(\alpha) \\ &\equiv r(\alpha) \pmod p \end{aligned}$$

so α must be a solution to $r(x) \equiv 0 \pmod p$. Since $f(x)$ has distinct roots, we see that $r(x) \equiv 0 \pmod p$ has n distinct solutions. But $\deg(r) < n$. So by corollary we must have $r(x) \equiv 0 \pmod p$ as a polynomial (each coefficient is 0 mod p). I.e., $x^p - x = f(x)g(x) \pmod p$, and so $f(x)$ divides $x^p - x$.

Now suppose $f(x) | x^p - x \pmod p$. Write $x^p - x \equiv f(x)g(x) \pmod p$, where $f(x)$ is a monic of degree n and $g(x)$ is a monic of degree $p - n$. We want to show that $f(x)$ has n distinct solutions.

By previous theorem, $g(x)$ has at most $p - n$ roots mod p . If $\alpha \in 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$ is not a root of $g(x) \pmod p$ then $\alpha^p - \alpha \equiv f(\alpha)g(\alpha) \pmod p$, which by Fermat $\equiv 0$. Since $g(\alpha) \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$, $f(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod p$. So since there are at least $p - (p - n)$ such α , we see that $f(x)$ has at least n distinct roots mod p . By the theorem, $f(x)$ has at most n roots mod $p \Rightarrow f(x)$ has exactly n distinct roots mod p . ■

Corollary 31. If $d|p - 1$ then $x^d \equiv 1 \pmod p$ has exactly d distinct solutions mod p .

Proof. $d|p - 1$, so $x^{d-1} - 1 | x^{p-1} - 1$ as polynomials. $p - 1 = kd$, so $x^{kd} - 1 = (x^d - 1)(x^{(k-1)d} \dots + 1)$. So $x^d - 1 | x(x^{p-1} - 1) = x^p - x$. So has d solutions. ■

Corollary 32. Another proof of Wilson's Theorem

Proof. Let p be an odd prime. Let $f(x) = x(x - 1)(x - 2) \dots (x - p + 1)$. This has deg p and p solutions mod p , so it must divide $x^p - x \pmod p$. Both polynomials are monic of the same degree (p), so must be equal mod p .

$$x(x - 1) \dots (x - (p - 1)) \equiv x^p - x \pmod p$$

Coefficient of x on the LHS is just $(-1)(-2) \dots (-(p - 1)) = (-1)^{p-1}(p - 1)! = (p - 1)!$ since p is odd, and so $(p - 1)! \equiv -1 \pmod p$ (coefficient on RHS). ■

This tells us much more as well - eg., $1 + 2 + \dots + p - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod p$ for $p \geq 3$, and $(1)(2) + (1)(3) + \dots (2)(3) \dots + (p - 1)(p - 2) \equiv 0 \pmod p$ for $p \geq 5$.

If we have a product $f(x) = (x - \alpha_1) \dots (x - \alpha_n)$ then $f(x) = x^n - \sigma_1 x^{n-1} + \sigma_2 x^{n-2} + \dots (-1)^n \sigma_n$. σ_i are elementary symmetric polynomials.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \sum \alpha_i \\ \sigma_2 &= \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \\ \sigma_k &= \sum (\text{all products of } k \text{ roots } \alpha_i) \end{aligned}$$

Question - We know by Euler that if $(n, 35) = 1$, then $n^{\phi(35)} = n^{24} \equiv 1 \pmod{35}$. Can 24 be replaced by something smaller? Ie., what's the smallest positive integer N such that if $(n, 35) = 1$ then $n^N \equiv 1 \pmod{35}$.

(Definition) Order: If $(a, m) = 1$ and h is the smallest positive integer such that $a^h \equiv 1 \pmod m$ then say h is the **order** of $a \pmod m$. Written as $h = \text{ord}_m(a)$.

Lemma 33. Let $h = \text{ord}_m(a)$. The set of integers k such that $a^k \equiv 1 \pmod m$ is exactly the set of multiples of h .

Proof. $a^{rh} \equiv (a^h)^r \equiv 1^r \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Suppose we have k such that $a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Want to show $h|k$. Write $k = hq + r$ where $0 \leq r < h$. $1 \equiv a^k = a^{hq+r} = a^{hq}a^r \equiv 1a^r \equiv a^r \pmod{m}$, so $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. But $r < h$. So if $r > 0$, contradicts minimality of h , which means that $r = 0$, and k is multiple of h . ■

Lemma 34. *If $h = \text{ord}_m(a)$ then a^k has order $\frac{k}{(k,h)}$ mod m .*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} a^{kj} &\equiv 1 \pmod{m} \\ &\Leftrightarrow h|kj \\ &\Leftrightarrow \frac{h}{(h,k)} \mid \frac{k}{(h,k)} j \\ &\Leftrightarrow \frac{h}{(h,k)} \mid j \end{aligned}$$

So smallest such positive $j = \frac{h}{(h,k)}$. ■

Lemma 35. *If a has order h mod m and b has order k mod m , and $(h,k) = 1$, then ab has order hk mod m .*

Proof. We know

$$\begin{aligned} (ab)^{hk} &\equiv (a^h)^k (b^k)^h \\ &\equiv 1^k 1^h \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{m} \end{aligned}$$

Conversely suppose that $r = \text{ord}_m(ab)$.

$$\begin{aligned} (ab)^r &\equiv 1 \pmod{m} \\ (ab)^{rh} &\equiv 1 \pmod{m} \\ (a^h)^r b^{rh} &\equiv 1 \pmod{m} \\ b^{rh} &\equiv 1 \pmod{m} \end{aligned}$$

so $k|rh \Rightarrow k|r$ (since $(k,h) = 1$), and similarly $h|r$. So $hk|r$, and so $hk = \text{ord}_m(ab)$. ■

(Definition) Primitive Root: If a has order $\phi(m)$ mod m , we say that a is a **primitive root** mod m .

Eg. mod 7:

1	has order	1	
2	has order	3	$(2^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{7})$
3	has order	6	✓ $(\phi(7) = 6)$
4	has order	3	
5	has order	6	✓ $(\phi(7) = 6)$
6	has order	2	

Lemma 36. *Let p be prime and suppose $q^e \mid p - 1$ for some other prime q . Then there's an element mod p of order q^e .*

Assuming Lemma...

$$p - 1 = q_1^{e_1} q_2^{e_2} \dots q_r^{e_r}$$

Lemma says that $\exists g_1$ with $\text{ord}_p(g_1) = q_1^{e_1}$, g_2 with $\text{ord}_p(g_2) = q_2^{e_2}$, etc. Set $g = g_1 g_2 \dots g_r$. So by previous lemma above, g has order $q_1^{e_1} q_2^{e_2} \dots q_r^{e_r} = p - 1$ because all q_i are coprime in pairs. $p - 1 = \phi(p)$, so g is a primitive root mod p .

Proof. Consider solutions of $x^{q^e} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Because $q^e \mid p - 1$, $x^{q^e} - 1$ has exactly q^e roots mod p . If α is any such root, then $\text{ord}_p(\alpha)$ must divide q^e .

So if it's not equal to q^e , it must divide q^{e-1} . Then α would have to be root of $x^{q^{e-1}} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, which has exactly q^{e-1} solutions. Since $q^e - q^{e-1} > 0$, there exists α such that $\text{ord}_p(\alpha) = q^e$. ■

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.781 Theory of Numbers
Spring 2012

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.