

Asymptotics: Consistency and Delta Method

MIT 18.655

Dr. Kempthorne

Spring 2016

Outline

1 Asymptotics

- Asymptotics: Consistency
- Delta Method: Approximating Moments
- Delta Method: Approximating Distributions

Consistency

Statistical Estimation Problem

- X_1, \dots, X_n iid $P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta$.
- $q(\theta)$: target of estimation.
- $\hat{q}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$: estimator of $q(\theta)$.

Definition: \hat{q}_n is a **consistent** estimator of $q(\theta)$, i.e.,

$$\hat{q}_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) \xrightarrow{P_\theta} q(\theta)$$

if for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_\theta(|q_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) - q(\theta)| > \epsilon) = 0.$$

Example: Consider P_θ such that:

- $E[X_1 | \theta] = \theta$
- $q(\theta) = \theta$
- $\hat{q}_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} = \bar{X}$

When is \hat{q}_n consistent for θ ?

Consistency: Example

Example: Consistency of sample mean $\hat{q}_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \bar{X} = \bar{X}_n$.

- If $\text{Var}(X_1 | \theta) = \sigma^2(\theta) < \infty$, apply Chebychev's Inequality.
For any $\epsilon > 0$:

$$P_\theta(|\bar{X}_n| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(\bar{X}_n | \theta)}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2(\theta)/\epsilon^2}{n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

- If $\text{Var}(X_1 | \theta) = \infty$, \bar{X}_n is consistent if $E[|X_1| | \theta] < \infty$.

Proof: Levy Continuity Theorem.

Consistency: A Stronger Definition

Definition: \hat{q}_n is a **uniformly consistent** estimator of $q(\theta)$, if for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} [P_{\theta}(|q_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) - q(\theta)| > \epsilon)] \right) = 0.$$

Example: Consider the sample mean $\hat{q}_n = \bar{X}_n$ for which

- $E[\bar{X}_n | \theta] = \theta$
- $\text{Var}[\bar{X}_n | \theta] = \sigma^2(\theta)$.

Proof of consistency of $\hat{q}_n = \bar{X}_n$ extends to uniform consistency if

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sigma^2(\theta) \leq M < \infty \quad (*).$$

Examples Satisfying (*)

- X_i i.i.d. *Bernoulli*(θ).
- X_i i.i.d. *Normal*(μ, σ^2), where $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$
 $\Theta = \{\theta\} = (-\infty, +\infty) \times [0, M]$, for finite $M < \infty$.

Consistency: The Strongest Definition

Definition: \hat{q}_n is a **strongly consistent** estimator of $q(\theta)$, if

$$P_\theta \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |q_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) - q(\theta)| \leq \epsilon \right) = 1, \text{ for every } \epsilon > 0.$$

$$\hat{q}_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} q(\theta). \quad (\text{a.s.} \equiv \text{"almost surely"})$$

Compare to:

Definition: \hat{q}_n is a **(weakly) consistent** estimator of $q(\theta)$, if for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [P_\theta(|q_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) - q(\theta)| > \epsilon)] = 0.$$

Consistency of Plug-In Estimators

Plug-In Estimators: Discrete Case

- Discrete outcome space of size K :

$$\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_K\}$$

- X_1, \dots, X_n iid $P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta$, where

$$\theta = (p_1, \dots, p_K)$$

$$P(X_1 = x_k | \theta) = p_k, k = 1, \dots, K$$

$$p_k \geq 0 \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, K \text{ and}$$

$$\sum_1^K p_k = 1.$$

- $\Theta = \mathcal{S}_K$ (K -dimensional simplex).
- Define the **empirical distribution**:

$$\hat{\theta}_n = (\hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{p}_K)$$

where

$$\hat{p}_k = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}(X_i = x_k)}{n} \equiv \frac{N_k}{n}$$

- $\hat{\theta}_n \in \mathcal{S}_K$.

Consistency of Plug-In Estimators

Proposition/Theorem (5.2.1) Suppose $\mathbf{X}_n = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a random sample of size n from a discrete distribution $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$. Then:

- $\hat{\theta}_n$ is uniformly consistent for $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$.
- For any continuous function $q : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow R^d$,
 $\hat{q} = q(\hat{\theta}_n)$ is uniformly consistent for $q(\theta)$.

Proof:

- For any $\epsilon > 0$, $P_\theta(|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta| \geq \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$.

This follows upon noting that:

$$\{\mathbf{x}_n : |\hat{\theta}_n(\mathbf{x}_n) - \theta|^2 < \epsilon^2\} \supset \bigcap_{k=1}^K \{\mathbf{x}_n : |(\hat{\theta}_n(\mathbf{x}_n) - \theta)_k|^2 < \epsilon^2/K\}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} P(\{\mathbf{x}_n : |\hat{\theta}_n(\mathbf{x}_n) - \theta|^2 \geq \epsilon^2\}) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^K P(\{\mathbf{x}_n : |(\hat{\theta}_n(\mathbf{x}_n) - \theta)_k|^2 \geq \epsilon^2/K\}) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{1}{4n} / (\epsilon^2/K) = \frac{K^2}{4n\epsilon^2} \end{aligned}$$

Consistency of Plug-In Estimators

Proof (continued):

- $q(\cdot)$: continuous on compact \mathcal{S}
 $\implies q(\cdot)$ uniformly continuous on \mathcal{S} .
 For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that:

$$|\theta_1 - \theta_0| < \delta(\epsilon) \implies |q(\theta_1) - q(\theta_0)| < \epsilon,$$

uniformly for all $\theta_0, \theta_1 \in \Theta$.

- It follows that

$$\{\mathbf{x} : |q(\hat{\theta}_n(\mathbf{x})) - q(\theta)| < \epsilon\}^c \subseteq \{\mathbf{x} : |\hat{\theta}_n - \theta| < \delta(\epsilon)\}^c$$

$$\implies P_\theta[|\hat{q}_n - q(\theta)| \geq \epsilon] \leq P_\theta[|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta| \geq \delta(\epsilon)]$$

Note: uniform consistency can be shown; see B&D.

Consistency of Plug-In Estimators

Proposition 5.2.1 Suppose:

- $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_d) : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \subset R^d$.
- $E[|g_j(X_1)| | \theta] < \infty$, for $j = 1, \dots, d$, for all $\theta \in \Theta$.
- $m_j(\theta) \equiv E[g_j(X_1) | \theta]$, for $j = 1, \dots, d$.

Define:

$$q(\theta) = h(\mathbf{m}(\theta)),$$

where

$$\mathbf{m}(\theta) = (m_1(\theta), \dots, m_d(\theta))$$

$h : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow R^p$, is continuous.

Then:

$$\hat{q}_n = h(\bar{\mathbf{g}}) = h\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{g}(X_i)\right)$$

is a consistent estimator of $q(\theta)$.

Consistency of Plug-In Estimators

Proposition 5.2.1 Applied to Non-Parametric Models

$\mathcal{P} = \{P : E_P(|\mathbf{g}(X_1)|) < \infty\}$ and $\nu(P) = h(E_P \mathbf{g}(X_1))$
 $\nu(\hat{P}_n) \xrightarrow{P} \nu(P)$ where \hat{P}_n is empirical distribution.

Consistency of MLEs in Exponential Family

Theorem 5.2.2 Suppose:

- \mathcal{P} is a canonical exponential family of rank d generated by $\mathbf{T} = (T_1(X), \dots, T_d(X))^T$.
- $p(x | \eta) = h(x) \exp\{\mathbf{T}(x)\eta - A(\eta)\}$
- $\mathcal{E} = \{\eta\}$ is open.
- X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d $P_\eta \in \mathcal{P}$

Then:

- $P_\eta[\text{the MLE } \hat{\eta} \text{ exists}] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1$.
- $\hat{\eta}$ is consistent.

Consistency of MLEs in Exponential Family

Proof:

- $\hat{\eta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ exists iff $\bar{\mathbf{T}}_n = \sum_1^n \mathbf{T}(X_i)/n \in C_{\mathbf{T}_n}^o$.
- If η_0 is true, then $\mathbf{t}_0 = E[\mathbf{T}(X_1) \mid \eta_0] \in C_{\mathbf{T}_n}^o$
and $\dot{A}(\eta_0) = \mathbf{t}_0$.
- By definition of the interior of the convex support, there exists $\delta > 0$:
 $S_\delta = \{\mathbf{t} : |\mathbf{t} - E_{\eta_0}[\mathbf{T}(X_1)]| < \delta\} \subset C_{\mathbf{T}_n}^o$.
- By the WLLN:

$$\bar{\mathbf{T}}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{T}(X_i) \xrightarrow{P_{\eta_0}} E_{\eta_0}[\mathbf{T}(X_1)]$$

$$\implies P_{\eta_0} [\bar{\mathbf{T}}_n \in C_{\mathbf{T}_n}^o] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1.$$

- $\hat{\eta}$ exists if it solves $\dot{A}(\eta) = \bar{\mathbf{T}}_n$, i.e., if $\bar{\mathbf{T}}_n \in C_{\mathbf{T}_n}^o$,
- The map $\eta \rightarrow \dot{A}(\eta)$ is 1-to-1 on $C_{\mathbf{T}}^o$ and continuous on \mathcal{E} , so the inverse function \dot{A}^{-1} is continuous, and Prop. 5.2.1 applies.

Consistency of Minimum-Contrast Estimates

Minimum-Contrast Estimates

- X_1, \dots, X_n iid P_θ , $\theta \in \Theta \subset R^d$.
- $\rho(X, \theta) : \mathcal{X} \times \Theta \rightarrow R$, a contrast function
- $D(\theta_0, \theta) = E[\rho(X, \theta) \mid \theta_0]$: the discrepancy function
 $\theta = \theta_0$ uniquely minimizes $D(\theta_0, \theta)$.
- The Minimum-Contrast Estimate $\hat{\theta}$ minimizes:

$$\rho_n(\mathbf{X}, \theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(X_i, \theta).$$

Theorem 5.2.3 Suppose

- $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(X_i, \theta) - D(\theta_0, \theta) \right\} \xrightarrow{P_{\theta_0}} 0$
- $\inf_{|\theta - \theta_0| \geq \epsilon} \{D(\theta_0, \theta)\} > D(\theta_0, \theta_0)$, for all $\epsilon > 0$.

Then $\hat{\theta}$ is consistent.

Outline

- 1 Asymptotics
 - Asymptotics: Consistency
 - Delta Method: Approximating Moments
 - Delta Method: Approximating Distributions

Delta Method

Theorem 5.3.1 (Applying Taylor Expansions) Suppose that:

- X_1, \dots, X_n iid with outcome space $\mathcal{X} = R$.
- $E[X_1] = \mu$, and $\text{Var}[X_1] = \sigma^2 < \infty$.
- $E[|X_1|^m] < \infty$.
- $h : R \rightarrow R$, m -times differentiable on R , with $m \geq 2$.

$$h^{(j)} = \frac{d^j h(x)}{dx^j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

- $\|h^{(m)}\|_\infty \equiv \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |h^{(m)}(x)| \leq M < \infty$.

Then

$$E(h(\bar{X})) = h(\mu) + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{h^{(j)}(\mu)}{j!} E[(\bar{X} - \mu)^j] + R_m$$

where

$$|R_m| \leq M \frac{E[|X_1|^m]}{m!} n^{-m/2}$$

Proof:

- Apply Taylor Expansion to $h(\bar{X})$:

$$h(\bar{X}) = h(\mu) + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{h^{(j)}(\mu)}{j!} (\bar{X} - \mu)^j + h^{(m)}(X^*) (\bar{X} - \mu)^m,$$

where $|X^* - \mu| \leq |\bar{X} - \mu|$

- Take expectations and apply Lemma 5.3.1: If $E|X_1|^j < \infty, j \geq 2$, then there exist constants $C_j > 0$ and $D_j > 0$ such that

$$E|\bar{X} - \mu|^j \leq C_j E|X_1|^j n^{-j/2}$$

$$|E[(\bar{X} - \mu)^j]| \leq D_j E|X_1|^j n^{-(j+1)/2} \text{ for } j \text{ odd}$$

Applying Taylor Expansions

Corollary 5.3.1 (a).

If $E|X_1|^3 < \infty$ and $\|h^{(3)}\|_\infty < \infty$, then

$$E[h(\bar{X})] = h(\mu) + 0 + \left[\frac{h^{(2)}(\mu)}{2}\right] \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + O(n^{-3/2})$$

Corollary 5.3.1 (b).

If $E|X_1|^4 < \infty$ and $\|h^{(4)}\|_\infty < \infty$, then

$$E[h(\bar{X})] = h(\mu) + 0 + \left[\frac{h^{(2)}(\mu)}{2}\right] \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + O(n^{-2})$$

For (b), use Lemma 5.3.2 with $j = 3$ (odd) gives

$$|E(\bar{x} - \mu)^3| \leq D_3 E[|X_1|^3] \times \frac{1}{n^2} = O(n^{-2})$$

Note: Asymptotic bias of $h(\bar{X})$ for $h(\mu)$:

- If $h^{(2)}(\mu) \neq 0$, then $O(n^{-1})$
- If $h^{(2)}(\mu) = 0$, then $O(n^{-3/2})$ if third-moment finite and $O(n^{-2})$ if fourth-moment finite.

Applying Taylor Expansions: Asymptotic Variance

Corollary 5.3.2 (a).

If $\|h^{(j)}\|_\infty < \infty$, for $j=1,2,3$, and $E|Z_1|^3 < \infty$, then

$$\text{Var}[h(\bar{X})] = \frac{\sigma^2[h^{(1)}(\mu)]^2}{n} + O(n^{-3/2})$$

Proof: Evaluate

$$\text{Var}[h(\bar{X})] = E[(h(\bar{X})^2)] - (E[h(\bar{X})])^2$$

From Corollary 5.3.1 (a):

$$\begin{aligned} E[h(\bar{X})] &= h(\mu) + 0 + \left[\frac{h^{(2)}(\mu)}{2}\right] \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + O(n^{-3/2}) \\ \implies (E[h(\bar{X})])^2 &= \left(h(\mu) + \left[\frac{h^{(2)}(\mu)}{2}\right] \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)^2 + O(n^{-3/2}) \\ &= (h(\mu))^2 + [h(\mu)h^{(2)}(\mu)] \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + O(n^{-3/2}) \end{aligned}$$

Taking Expectation of the Taylor Expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} E([h(\bar{X})]^2) &= [h(\mu)]^2 + E[\bar{X} - \mu] (2[h(\mu)]h^{(1)}(\mu)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}E[(\bar{X} - \mu)^2] (2[h^{(1)}(\mu)]^2 + 2[h(\mu)]h^{(2)}(\mu)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6}E[(\bar{X} - \mu)^3] ([h^{(2)}(\mu)]^3(X^*)) \end{aligned}$$

Difference gives result.

Note:

- Asymptotic bias of $h(\bar{X})$ for $h(\mu)$ is $O(\frac{1}{n})$.
- Asymptotic standard deviation of $h(\bar{X})$ is $O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ unless (!) $h^{(1)}(\mu) = 0$.
- More terms in a Taylor Series with finite expectations of $E[|\bar{X} - \theta|^j]$ yields finer approximation to order $O(n^{-j/2})$
- Taylor Series Expansions apply to functions of vector-valued statistics (See Theorem 5.3.2).

Outline

- 1 Asymptotics
 - Asymptotics: Consistency
 - Delta Method: Approximating Moments
 - Delta Method: Approximating Distributions

Theorem 5.3.3 Suppose

- X_1, \dots, X_n iid with $\mathcal{X} = R$.
- $E[X_1^2] < \infty$.
- $\mu = E[X_1]$ and $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(X_1)$.
- $h : R \rightarrow R$ is differentiable at μ .

Then

$$\mathcal{L}(\sqrt{n}(h(\bar{X}) - h(\mu))) \rightarrow N(0, \sigma^2(h))$$

where $\sigma^2(h) = [h^{(1)}(\mu)]^2 \sigma^2$.**Proof:** Apply Taylor expansion of $h(\bar{X})$ about μ :

$$\begin{aligned} h(\bar{X}) &= h(\mu) + (\bar{X} - \mu)[h^{(1)}(\mu) + R_n] \\ \implies \sqrt{n}(h(\bar{X}) - h(\mu)) &= [\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)][h^{(1)}(\mu) + R_n] \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} [N(0, \sigma^2)] \times h^{(1)}(\mu) \end{aligned}$$

Limiting Distributions of t Statistics

Example 5.3.3 One-sample t -statistic

- X_1, \dots, X_n iid $P \in \mathcal{P}$
- $E_P[X_1] = \mu$
- $\text{Var}_P(X_1) = \sigma^2 < \infty$
- For a given μ_0 , define t -statistic for testing $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$ versus $H_1 : \mu > \mu_0$.

$$T_n = \sqrt{n} \frac{(\bar{X} - \mu_0)}{s_n} \quad \text{where}$$

$$s_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$

If H is true then $T_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 1)$.

Proof: Apply Slutsky's theorem for limit of $\{U_n/v_n\}$ where

- $U_n = \sqrt{n} \frac{(\bar{X} - \mu_0)}{\sigma} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 1)$.
- $v_n = s_n/\sigma \xrightarrow{P} 1$.

Limiting Distributions of t Statistics

Example 5.3.3 Two-Sample t -statistic

- X_1, \dots, X_{n_1} iid with $E[X_1] = \mu_1$ and $\text{Var}[X_1] = \sigma_1^2 < \infty$.
- Y_1, \dots, Y_{n_2} iid with $E[Y_1] = \mu_2$ and $\text{Var}[Y_1] = \sigma_2^2 < \infty$.
- Define t -statistic for testing $H_0 : \mu_2 = \mu_1$ versus $H_1 : \mu_2 > \mu_1$.

$$T_n = \frac{\bar{Y} - \bar{X}}{\sqrt{\frac{s^2}{n_1} + \frac{s^2}{n_2}}} = \sqrt{\frac{n_1 n_2}{n}} \left(\frac{\bar{Y} - \bar{X}}{s} \right)$$

where
$$s^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (Y_j - \bar{Y})^2 \right]$$

If H is true, $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$, and all distributions are Gaussian, then

$$T_n \sim t_{n-2}, \text{ (a } t\text{-distribution)}$$

In general, if H is true, and $n_1 \rightarrow \infty$ and $n_2 \rightarrow \infty$, with $n_1/n \rightarrow \lambda$, ($0 < \lambda < 1$), then

$$T_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, \tau^2), \text{ where } \tau^2 = \frac{(1-\lambda)\sigma_1^2 + \lambda\sigma_2^2}{\lambda\sigma_1^2 + (1-\lambda)\sigma_2^2} \quad (\approx 1, \text{ when?})$$

Additional Topics

- Monte Carlo simulations/studies: evaluating asymptotic distribution approximations.
- Variance-stabilizing transformations: When $E[\bar{X}] = \mu$, but $Var[\bar{X}] = \sigma^2(\mu)$, consider $h(\bar{X})$ such that

$$\sigma^2(\mu)[h^{(1)}(\mu)]^2 = \text{constant}$$

Asymptotic distribution approximation for $h(\bar{X})$ will have a constant variance.

- Edgeworth Approximations: Refining the Central Limit Theorem to match nonzero skewness and non-Gaussian kurtosis.

Taylor Series Review

Power Series Representation of function $f(x)$

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j (x - a)^j, \text{ for } x : |x - a| < d$$

$a = \text{center}$; and $d = \text{radius of convergence}$

Theorem: If $f(x)$ has a power series representation, then

- $c_j = \frac{f^{(j)}(a)}{j!} = \frac{d^j}{dx^j} [f(x)] \Big|_{x=a}$.
- Define $T_m(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m c_j (x - a)^j$, and $R_m(x) = f(x) - T_m(x)$.
 $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_m(x) = f(x)$ and $(\Leftrightarrow) \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} R_m(x) = 0$.

Power Series Approximation of $f(x)$ where

- $f^{(j)}(x)$: finite for $1 \leq j \leq m$
- $\sup_x \|f^{(m)}(x)\| \leq M$.

For $m = 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(2)}(x) &\leq M \\ \implies \int_a^x f^{(2)}(t) dt &\leq \int_a^x M dt \\ \iff f^{(1)}(x) - f^{(1)}(a) &\leq M(x - a) \\ \iff f^{(1)}(x) &\leq f^{(1)}(a) + M(x - a) \end{aligned}$$

Integrate again:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^x f^{(1)}(t) dt &\leq \int_a^x [f^{(1)}(a) + M(t - a)] dt \\ \iff f(x) - f(a) &\leq f^{(1)}(a)(x - a) + M \frac{(x-a)^2}{2} \\ \iff f(x) &\leq f(a) + f^{(1)}(a)(x - a) + M \frac{(x-a)^2}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Reverse inequality and use $-M$:

$$\begin{aligned} \implies f(x) &\geq f(a) + f^{(1)}(a)(x - a) - M \frac{(x-a)^2}{2} \\ \implies f(x) &= f(a) + f^{(1)}(a)(x - a) + R_2(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } |R_2(x)| \leq M \frac{(x-a)^2}{2}$$

Delta Method for Function of a Random Variable

- X a r.v. with $\mu = E[X]$
- $h(\cdot)$ function with m derivatives
- $h(X) = h(\mu) + (X - \mu)h^{(1)}(\mu) + R_2(X)$
where $|R_2(X)| \leq \frac{M}{2}(X - \mu)^2$.
- $h(X) = h(\mu) + (X - \mu)h^{(1)}(\mu) + (X - \mu)^2 \frac{h^{(2)}(\mu)}{2} + R_3(X)$
where $|R_3(X)| \leq \frac{M}{3!}|X - \mu|^3$.
- $h(X) = h(\mu) + (X - \mu)h^{(1)}(\mu) + (X - \mu)^2 \frac{h^{(2)}(\mu)}{2}$
 $+ (X - \mu)^3 \frac{h^{(3)}(\mu)}{3!} + R_4(X)$
where $|R_4(X)| \leq \frac{M}{4!}|X - \mu|^4$.

Key Example: $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, for i.i.d. X_i

$$E[X_1] = \theta, \quad E[(X_1 - \theta)^2] = \sigma^2$$

$$E[(X_1 - \mu)^3] = \mu_3 \quad E[|X_1 - \mu|^3] = \kappa_3$$

With \bar{X} for a given sample size n

$$E[\bar{X}] = \theta, \quad E[(\bar{X} - \theta)^2] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$E[(\bar{X} - \theta)^3] = \frac{\mu_3}{n^2} \quad E[|\bar{X} - \mu|^3] = O_p\left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^3\right]$$

Taking Expectations of the Delta Formulas (cases $m = 2, 3$)

$$\begin{aligned} E[h(\bar{X})] &= E[h(\theta) + (\bar{X} - \theta)h^{(1)}(\theta) + R_2(\bar{X})] \\ &= h(\theta) + E[(\bar{X} - \theta)]h^{(1)}(\theta) + E[R_2(\bar{X})] \\ &= h(\theta) + 0 + E[R_2(\bar{X})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } |E[R_2(\bar{X})]| \leq E[|R_2(\bar{X})|] \leq \frac{M}{2} E[(\bar{X} - \theta)^2] = \frac{M}{2} \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[h(\bar{X})] &= E[h(\theta) + (\bar{X} - \theta)h^{(1)}(\theta) + (\bar{X} - \theta)^2 \frac{h^{(2)}(\theta)}{2} + R_3(\bar{X})] \\ &= h(\theta) + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \frac{h^{(2)}(\theta)}{2} + E[R_3(\bar{X})] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|E[R_3(\bar{X})]| \leq E[|R_3(\bar{X})|] \leq \frac{M}{3!} E[|\bar{X} - \theta|^3] = \frac{M}{3!} O_p\left(\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right|^3\right).$$

Taking Expectations of the Delta Formula (case $m = 4$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[h(\bar{X})] &= E\left[h(\theta) + (\bar{X} - \theta)h^{(1)}(\theta) + (\bar{X} - \theta)^2 \frac{h^{(2)}(\theta)}{2}\right] + \\
 &\quad + E\left[(\bar{X} - \theta)^3 \frac{h^{(3)}}{3!} + R_4(X)\right] \\
 &= h(\theta) + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \frac{h^{(2)}(\theta)}{2} + E[(\bar{X} - \theta)^3] \frac{h^{(3)}}{3!} + E[R_4(X)] \\
 &= h(\theta) + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \frac{h^{(2)}(\theta)}{2} + \frac{\mu_3}{n^2} \frac{h^{(3)}}{3!} + E[R_4(X)] \\
 &= h(\theta) + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \frac{h^{(2)}(\theta)}{2} + O_p\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

because $|E[R_4(\bar{X})]| \leq [E|R_4(\bar{X})|] \leq \frac{M}{4!} E[|X - \theta|^4] = \frac{M}{4!} O_p\left[\left|\frac{1}{n^2}\right|\right]$.

Taking Expectations of the Delta Formula (case $m = 4$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[h(\bar{X})] &= E\left[h(\theta) + (\bar{X} - \theta)h^{(1)}(\theta) + (\bar{X} - \theta)^2 \frac{h^{(2)}(\theta)}{2}\right] + \\
 &\quad + E\left[(\bar{X} - \theta)^3 \frac{h^{(3)}}{3!} + R_4(X)\right] \\
 &= h(\theta) + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \frac{h^{(2)}(\theta)}{2} + E[(\bar{X} - \theta)^3] \frac{h^{(3)}}{3!} + E[R_4(X)] \\
 &= h(\theta) + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \frac{h^{(2)}(\theta)}{2} + \frac{\mu_3}{n^2} \frac{h^{(3)}}{3!} + E[R_4(X)] \\
 &= h(\theta) + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \frac{h^{(2)}(\theta)}{2} + O_p\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

because $|E[R_4(\bar{X})]| \leq [E|R_4(\bar{X})|] \leq \frac{M}{4!} E[|X - \theta|^4] = \frac{M}{4!} O_p\left[\left|\frac{1}{n^2}\right|\right]$.

Key Example: $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, for i.i.d. X_i

$$E[X_1] = \theta, \quad E[(X_1 - \theta)^2] = \sigma^2$$

$$E[(X_1 - \mu)^3] = \mu_3, \quad E[|X_1 - \mu|^3] = \kappa_3$$

With \bar{X} for a given sample size n

$$E[\bar{X}] = \theta, \quad E[(\bar{X} - \theta)^2] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$E[(\bar{X} - \theta)^3] = \frac{\mu_3}{n^2}, \quad E[|\bar{X} - \mu|^3] = O_p\left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^3\right]$$

Limit Laws from the Delta Formula (case $m = 2$)

$$h(\bar{X}) = h(\theta) + (\bar{X} - \theta)h^{(1)}(\theta) + R_2(\bar{X})$$

$$\implies \sqrt{n}[h(\bar{X}) - h(\theta)] = \sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \theta)h^{(1)}(\theta) + \sqrt{n}R_2(\bar{X})$$

$$= \sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \theta)h^{(1)}(\theta) + O_p\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

$$\stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\rightarrow} N\left(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{n} [h^{(1)}(\theta)]^2\right)$$

$$\text{since } \sqrt{n}|E[R_2(\bar{X})]| \leq \sqrt{n} \frac{M}{2} \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

Note: if $h^{(1)}(\theta) = 0$, then

- $\sqrt{n}[h(\bar{X}) - h(\theta)] \xrightarrow{P} 0$.

- Consider increasing scaling to $n[h(\bar{X}) - h(\theta)]$

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.655 Mathematical Statistics

Spring 2016

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.