

# Linear Regression

18.05 Spring 2014

# Agenda

- Fitting curves to bivariate data
- Measuring the goodness of fit
- The fit vs. complexity tradeoff
- Regression to the mean
- Multiple linear regression

# Modeling bivariate data as a function + noise

## Ingredients

- Bivariate data  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ .

- Model:  $y_i = f(x_i) + E_i$

where  $f(x)$  is some function,  $E_i$  random error.

- Total squared error: 
$$\sum_{i=1}^n E_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - f(x_i))^2$$

Model allows us to **predict** the value of  $y$  for any given value of  $x$ .

- $x$  is called the **independent** or **predictor variable**.
- $y$  is the **dependent** or **response** variable.

## Examples of $f(x)$

- lines:  $y = ax + b + E$
- polynomials:  $y = ax^2 + bx + c + E$
- other:  $y = a/x + b + E$
- other:  $y = a \sin(x) + b + E$

## Simple linear regression: finding the best fitting line

- Bivariate data  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ .
- **Simple linear regression**: fit a line to the data

$$y_i = ax_i + b + E_i, \quad \text{where } E_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

and where  $\sigma$  is a fixed value, the same for all data points.

- Total squared error:  $\sum_{i=1}^n E_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - ax_i - b)^2$
- Goal: Find the values of  $a$  and  $b$  that give the 'best fitting line'.
- Best fit: (**least squares**)  
The values of  $a$  and  $b$  that minimize the total squared error.

## Linear Regression: finding the best fitting polynomial

- Bivariate data:  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ .

- Linear regression: fit a parabola to the data

$$y_i = ax_i^2 + bx_i + c + E_i, \quad \text{where } E_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

and where  $\sigma$  is a fixed value, the same for all data points.

- Total squared error:  $\sum_{i=1}^n E_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - ax_i^2 - bx_i - c)^2$ .

- Goal:


Find the values of  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$  that give the 'best fitting parabola'.

- Best fit: (least squares)

The values of  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$  that minimize the total squared error.

Can also fit higher order polynomials.


# Stamps



Stamp cost (cents) vs. time (years since 1960)  
(Red dot = 49 cents is predicted cost in 2016.)

(Actual cost of a stamp dropped from 49 to 47 cents on 4/8/16.)

## Parabolic fit





## Board question: make it fit

Bivariate data:

$$(1, 3), (2, 1), (4, 4)$$

1. Do (simple) linear regression to find the best fitting line.

Hint: minimize the total squared error by taking partial derivatives with respect to  $a$  and  $b$ .

2. Do linear regression to find the best fitting parabola.

3. Set up the linear regression to find the best fitting cubic. but don't take derivatives.

4. Find the best fitting exponential  $y = e^{ax+b}$ .

Hint: take  $\ln(y)$  and do simple linear regression.

# What is linear about linear regression?

Linear in the parameters  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $\dots$

$$y = ax + b.$$

$$y = ax^2 + bx + c.$$



It is **not** because the curve being fit has to be a straight line –although this is the simplest and most common case.

Notice: in the board question you had to solve a **system of simultaneous linear equations**.

Fitting a line is called **simple linear regression**.

# Homoscedastic


**BIG ASSUMPTIONS:** the  $E_i$  are independent with the same variance  $\sigma^2$ .



Regression line (left) and residuals (right).

**Homoscedasticity** = uniform spread of errors around regression line.

# Heteroscedastic



Heteroscedastic Data

## Formulas for simple linear regression

Model:

$$y_i = ax_i + b + E_i \quad \text{where } E_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2).$$

Using calculus or algebra:

$$\hat{a} = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_{xx}} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{b} = \bar{y} - \hat{a}\bar{x},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i & s_{xx} &= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \\ \bar{y} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum y_i & s_{xy} &= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}). \end{aligned}$$

**WARNING:** This is just for simple linear regression. For polynomials and other functions you need other formulas.

## Board Question: using the formulas plus some theory

Bivariate data:  $(1, 3)$ ,  $(2, 1)$ ,  $(4, 4)$

**1.(a)** Calculate the sample means for  $x$  and  $y$ .

**1.(b)** Use the formulas to find a best-fit line in the  $xy$ -plane.

$$\hat{a} = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_{xx}} \qquad \hat{b} = \bar{y} - \hat{a}\bar{x}$$

$$s_{xy} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) \qquad s_{xx} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2.$$

**2.** Show the point  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  is always on the fitted line.

**3.** Under the assumption  $E_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  show that the least squares method is equivalent to finding the MLE for the parameters  $(a, b)$ .

Hint:  $f(y_i | x_i, a, b) \sim N(ax_i + b, \sigma^2)$ .

## Measuring the fit

$y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  = data values of the response variable.

$\hat{y} = (\hat{y}_1, \dots, \hat{y}_n)$  = 'fitted values' of the response variable.

- $TSS = \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2$  = total sum of squares = total variation.
- $RSS = \sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$  = residual sum of squares.  
RSS = unexplained by model squared error (due to random fluctuation)
- $RSS/TSS$  = unexplained fraction of the total error.
- $R^2 = 1 - RSS/TSS$  is measure of goodness-of-fit
- $R^2$  is the fraction of the variance of  $y$  explained by the model.

## Overfitting a polynomial

- Increasing the degree of the polynomial increases  $R^2$
- Increasing the degree of the polynomial increases the complexity of the model.
- The optimal degree is a tradeoff between goodness of fit and complexity.
- If all data points lie on the fitted curve, then  $y = \hat{y}$  and  $R^2 = 1$ .

R demonstration!



# Outliers and other troubles

**Question:** Can one point change the regression line significantly?

Use mathlet

<http://mathlets.org/mathlets/linear-regression/>

## Regression to the mean

- Suppose a group of children is given an IQ test at age 4. One year later the same children are given another IQ test.
- Children's IQ scores at age 4 and age 5 should be positively correlated.
- Those who did poorly on the first test (e.g., bottom 10%) will tend to show improvement (i.e. regress to the mean) on the second test.
- A completely useless intervention with the poor-performing children might be misinterpreted as causing an increase in their scores.
- Conversely, a reward for the top-performing children might be misinterpreted as causing a decrease in their scores.

This example is from Rice *Mathematical Statistics and Data Analysis*

## A brief discussion of multiple linear regression

Multivariate data:  $(x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \dots, x_{i,m}, y_i)$  ( $n$  data points:  
 $i = 1, \dots, n$ )

Model  $\hat{y}_i = a_1x_{i,1} + a_2x_{i,2} + \dots + a_mx_{i,m}$

$x_{i,j}$  are the explanatory (or predictor) variables.

$y_i$  is the response variable.

The total squared error is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - a_1x_{i,1} - a_2x_{i,2} - \dots - a_mx_{i,m})^2$$

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<https://ocw.mit.edu>

## 18.05 Introduction to Probability and Statistics

Spring 2014

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.